

Norman H. Bangerter Governor Dee C. Hansen Executive Director Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D. Division Director

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 801-538-5340

May 4, 1992

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 074 979 230

Mr. Wendell Owen Co-Op Mining Company P.O. Box 1245 Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Owen:

Re: Proposed Assessments for State Violation Nos. N92-40-3-2, N92-40-7-1, N92-40-8-1, Co-Op Mining Company, Bear Canyon Mine, ACT/015/025, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed are the proposed civil penalty assessments for the above-referenced violations. The violations were issued by Division Inspector, Hugh Klein on March 12, 1992. Rule R645-401-600 et. sec. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalties. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violations, have been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violations and the amount of penalties.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of these violations</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalties.

Page 2 Mr. Wendell Owen ACT/015/025 May 4, 1992

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessments, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violations will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich Assessment Officer

jbe Enclosure

cc: Bernie Freeman, OSM

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Company/Bear Canyon Mine

NOV #N92-40-3-2

PERMIT #_ACT/015/025

VIOLATION _1 OF _2

ASSESSMENT DATE _04/30/92

ASSESSMENT OFFICER _Joseph C. Helfrich

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE <u>04/30/92</u>	EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO	D DATE <u>04/30/91</u>
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
N91-26-5-1	_11/03/91_	_1_
<u>C91-26-2-1</u>	09/19/91	_5_
N91-34-2-1	11/24/91	_1_
N91-26-7-2	11/24/91	2
N91-40-1-1	04/11/92	_1_
N91 35-8-1	04/11/92	1

¹ point for each past violation, up to one year;

No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS ___11

II. SERIOUSNESS (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? <u>Event</u>

⁵ points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;

A. <u>I</u> 1.	Event Violations Max 45 PTS What is the event which the viol	ated standard was designed to prevent?
1.	Environmental Harm and Water	
2.		currence of the event which a violated standard
	was designed to prevent? Only	cly
	PROBABILITY	RANGE
	None	0
	Unlikely	1-9
	Likely	10-19
	Occurred	20
	ASSIGN PROBAB	ILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS6_
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
		m and water pollution occurring, are deemed to
<u>be unlikely</u>	<u> </u>	
_		
3.	What is the extent of actual or p	
		RANGE 0 - 25*
	47	1
	·	e duration and extent of said damage or impact,
	in terms of area and impact on t	ne public of environment.
		ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS4
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	ASSIGN DAMAGE TORVIS
IKOVIDE	AN EXICATION OF TORVIS	
Minimal		
<u> </u>		
B. Hine	drance Violations MAX 25 PTS	
1.	Is this a potential or actual hindr	rance to enforcement?
	F	RANGE 0 - 25
	Assign points based on the extensi	t to which enforcement is actually or potentially
	hindered by the violation.	
		ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
	TOTA	L SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 10

III. NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

... No Negligence 0
... Negligence 1-15
... Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

The violation is the lack of reasonable care. The operator is either not familiar with what is in the mine plan or has not followed what is specified in the mine plan.

IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)

A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

... Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

. . . Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

. . . Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

. . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

... Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*} Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

	В.	the situation require the submission of pla compliance? IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEM	ns prior to physical activity t	
		Difficult Abatement Situation Rapid Compliance -11 to -20 (Permittee used diligence to abate Normal Compliance -1 to -1 (Operator complied within the abate Extended Compliance 0 (Permittee took minimal actions for the NOV or the violated standard, of incomplete) (Permittee complied with condition Reclamation Plan)	the violation) 10* tement period required) r abatement to stay within the or the plan submitted for abate	ment was
EASY	OR D	IFFICULT ABATEMENT? ASSIGN	GOOD FAITH POINTS _	-10
PROV	IDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS		
Dilige	ence exe	ercised in abating the violation.		
		1		
V.	ASSE	SSMENT SUMMARY FOR N92-40-	3-2 1/2	
	I. II. III. IV.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	11 10 8 -10	
	14.	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>19</u>	
		TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 190.00	

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Company/Bear Canyon Mine

NOV #N92-40-3-2

PERMIT #_ ACT/015/025_

VIOLATION 2 OF 2

ASSESSMENT DATE 04/30/92

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Joseph C. Helfrich

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE <u>04/30/92</u>	EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO	DATE <u>04/30/91</u>
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
N91-26-5-1	11/03/91	_1_
C91-26-2-1	09/19/91	_5_
N91-34-2-1	11/24/91	_1_
N91-26-7-2	11/24/91	_2_
N91-40-1-1	04/11/92	1
N91 35-8-1	04/11/92	_1_

¹ point for each past violation, up to one year;

No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS ___11__

II. SERIOUSNESS (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? <u>Event</u>

⁵ points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;

		ent Violations Max 45 PTS	
	1.	What is the event which the violated stand	
	_	Conducting activities without appropriate a	
	2.	What is the probability of the occurrence	of the event which a violated standard
		was designed to prevent? Occurred	
		PROBABILITY	RANGE
		None	0
		Unlikely	1-9
		Likely	10-19
		Occurred	20
		ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF	F OCCURRENCE POINTS <u>20</u>
PROV	IDE AI	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
The or	erator l	has an unpermitted drainage diversion on th	e permit area, thereby allowing runoff
to flov	vinat	pattern that is not in accordance with the	approved mine plan. In this case, an
		iversion creates a potential for environmer	
that w	ater co	nveyed through such a diversion may not	be receiving the appropriate sediment
contro		nvojos smougn saon a arversion may nov	
COME			
	3.	What is the extent of actual or potential d	amage?
	J.	What is the extent of actual of potential d	RANGE 0 - 25*
	ī		RMC2 0 25
		*In assigning points, consider the duration	and extent of said damage or impact
		in terms of area and impact on the public	
		in terms of area and impact on the public	of chynomicht.
			ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS4
DDOV	TOT: A	-	ASSIGN DAMAGE TORVIS
PROV	IDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
		1 1 1 (61) 611	
Minim	<u>iai. An</u>	area below the outfall of this particular unp	dermitted diversion has been eroded out
	•	extent of this is unclear because the exact	
disturb	ed and	undisturbed areas is not ascertainable at th	is time.
В.	<u>Hindra</u>	ance Violations MAX 25 PTS	
	1.	Is this a potential or actual hindrance to e	
			RANGE 0 - 25
		Assign points based on the extent to which	h enforcement is actually or potentially
		hindered by the violation.	
			IGN HINDRANCE POINTS

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 24

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS</u>

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

... No Negligence 0
... Negligence 1-15
... Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

The violation is a direct result of the lack of reasonable care, in that the operator has an unpermitted diversion on the ground.

IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)

A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- . . . Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*
- ... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- . . . Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*
- . . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- . . . Normal Compliance 0
 (Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- * Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?
 - ... IF SO DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- ... Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
- . . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- ... Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
- . . . (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- . . . Extended Compliance 0

 (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)

(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? ____ ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _____ PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

The permittee exercised diligence in abating the violation during the second half of the abatement period.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR N92-40-3-2 2/2

- I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 11
 II. TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS 24
- III. TOTAL SERIOUSNESS FOINTS 24
 III. TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8
- IV. TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS _-5

TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS 38_

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$ 560.00

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Co	ompany/Bear Canyon Mine NOV #N92-40-7-1
PERMIT #_ ACT/015/025_	VIOLATION <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>
ASSESSMENT DATE 04/30/92	ASSESSMENT OFFICER Joseph C. Helfrich

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE <u>04/30/92</u>	EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR 7	TO DATE <u>04/30/91</u>
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
<u>N91-26-5-1</u>	_11/03/91_	_1_
<u>C91-26-2-1</u>	09/19/91	_5_
<u>N91-34-2-1</u>	11/24/91	_1_
. <u>N91-26-7-2</u>	11/24/91	_2_
<u>N91-40-1-1</u>	04/11/92	_1_
<u>N91 35-8-1</u>	04/11/92	_1_

¹ point for each past violation, up to one year;

No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 11

II. <u>SERIOUSNESS</u> (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? Event

⁵ points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;

	3	Environmental Harm and Water		
	2.	What is the probability of the own was designed to prevent? <u>Unli</u>	ccurrence of the event which a vi	olated standard
		PROBABILITY	RANGE	
		None	0	
		Unlikely	1-9	
		Likely	10-19	
		Occurred	20	
'RO	VIDE A	ASSIGN PROBAR AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	SILITY OF OCCURRENCE PO	OINTS 6
				* ,
	3.	What is the extent of actual or I	•	NGE 0 - 25*
		•		.02 0 24
	•	in terms of area and impact on	e duration and extent of said dam	
			e duration and extent of said dam the public or environment.	nage or impact,
PRO	VIDE A	in terms of area and impact on	the duration and extent of said dame the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	nage or impact,
PRO	VIDE /	in terms of area and impact on	the duration and extent of said dame the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	nage or impact,
PROV		in terms of area and impact on	the duration and extent of said dame the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	nage or impact,
		in terms of area and impact on N AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	nage or impact,
	Hind	in terms of area and impact on AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS Irance Violations MAX 25 PTS Is this a potential or actual hind	the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	NGE 0 - 25 y or potentially

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS</u>

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

... No Negligence 0

... Negligence... Greater Degree of Fault16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE _Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS ... 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Lack of reasonable care with respect to maintenance of sediment control structures.

- IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)
 - A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

... Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

. . . Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

. . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

... Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*} Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

	B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?		
		IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEMENT	
		Difficult Abatement Situation Rapid Compliance -11 to -20* (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation) Normal Compliance -1 to -10* (Operator complied within the abatement period required) Extended Compliance 0 (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement wincomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining a Reclamation Plan)	as
EASY	OR DI	IFFICULT ABATEMENT?ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS10	<u>.</u>
PROV	'IDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
Dilige	nce exe	ercised in abating the violation.	
	•		
V.	ASSE	SSMENT SUMMARY FOR N92-40-7-1	
	I. II. III. IV.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS -10	
-		TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS 19	
		TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$ 190.00	

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Co	ompany/Bear Canyon Mine NOV #N92-40-8-1
PERMIT #_ACT/015/025	VIOLATION 1 OF 1
ASSESSMENT DATE 04/30/92	ASSESSMENT OFFICER <u>Joseph C. Helfrich</u>

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE 04/30/92	EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO	DATE <u>04/30/91</u>
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
<u>N91-26-5-1</u>	11/03/91	_1_
<u>C91-26-2-1</u>	09/19/91	5
N91-34-2-1	11/24/91	<u>1</u>
<u>N91-26-7-2</u>	11/24/91	_2_
N91-40-1-1	04/11/92	_1_
N91 35-8-1	04/11/92	<u> </u>

¹ point for each past violation, up to one year;

No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS ___11___

II. <u>SERIOUSNESS</u> (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? Hindrance

⁵ points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;

 A. Event Violations Max 45 PTS 1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to preven 		ated standard was designed to prevent?
2.	What is the probability of the oc was designed to prevent?	currence of the event which a violated standard
	PROBABILITY	RANGE
	None	0
	Unlikely	1-9
	Likely	10-19
	Occurred	20
PROVIDE	ASSIGN PROBAB E AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	ILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS
3.	What is the extent of actual or p	otential damage? RANGE 0 - 25*
	*In assigning points, consider the in terms of area and impact on t	e duration and extent of said damage or impact, he public or environment.
PROVIDE	E AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS
B. <u>Hi</u>	ndrance Violations MAX 25 PTS	
1.	Is this a potential or actual hinds	rance to enforcement? <u>Actual</u> RANGE 0 - 25
	Assign points based on the exten hindered by the violation.	t to which enforcement is actually or potentially ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS15
PROVIDE	E AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
		certified by a registered professional engineer, formation contained therein was accurate.
The plate	E AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS 7-2 had a revision made and was not the ch, there was no assurance that all in	

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> MAX 30 PTS

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

. . . No Negligence 0
. . . Negligence 1-15
. . . Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS ___ 8__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Lack of reasonable care with respect to DOGM regulation requirements.

IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)

A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

. . . Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

. . . Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

... (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

... Normal Compliance 0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*} Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

	the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance? IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEMENT		
		the NOV or the violated standard, o incomplete)	the violation) 10*
EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS5			
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS			
Diligence exercised in abating the violation during the latter half of the abatement period.			
V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR N92-40-8-1			
	I. II. III. IV.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	11 15 8 -5
		TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	_29_
		TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 380.00